Case 1:08-cv-04632-AKH	Document 1	Filed 05/16/2008	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR	RK		
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
ROBERT EHMER		DOCKET NO.	
- against - A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL., SEE ATTACHED RIDER,	intiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SH COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLA PLAINTIFF(S) DEL JURY	E
Def	endants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alv 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master C			•
	NOTICE OF	FADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth I Plaintiff(s) which are listed below. These	nerein in additi	on to those paragraphs	specific to the individu

the ual and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.

Plaintiffs, ROBERT EHMER, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

•,•			EHMER (hereinafter the "Injured	
citizen o	r New	York residing at 1/92 A	Adelaide Court, East Meadow, NY	11554-0000.
			(OR)	
2		\square Alternatively, $___$	is the	of Decedent
		, and brings this cla	im in his (her) capacity as of the E	state of

Ca	ase 1.06-cv-04632-AKH Documen	III Filed 05/16/2006 Page 2 01 11	
3.		(hereinafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a	
		, and has the following relationship to the	
Injured Plain	SPOUSE at all relevant times, and bri injuries sustained by her husba	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff ngs this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the and (his wife), Plaintiff Other:	
4.	In the period from 9/11/2001 to 12/28	3/2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for New York	
Police Depar	tment (NYPD) as a Police Officer at:	J. T. T. J. J. T.	
	Please be as specific as possible when f	illing in the following dates and locations	
		l	
	d Trade Center Site	☐ The Barge	
Location(s) (i.e., building, quadrant, etc.)	From on or about until;	
From on or a	bout <u>9/11/2001</u> until <u>12/28/2001</u> ;	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.	
	ely 10 hours per day; for	<u> </u>	
=======	ely <u>19</u> days total. ====================================	Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at	
	York City Medical Examiner's Office	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the	
From on or about until, Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.		dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:	
	======================================	From on or about until;	
From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.		Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:	
	*	aper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify rate sheet of paper with the information.	
5.	Injured Plaintiff		
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed above;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated	
	Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	r ingested toxic substances and particulates on all	
	✓ Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at	
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.		
	Please read this doe	cument carefully	

6.

Injure	d Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to $$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on (OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
☐ More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
☐ deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC. ☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS, P.C.
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	✓ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.D. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
York on	NEW YORK, INC.
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	✓ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☐ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
□ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EJ DAVIES, INC.
5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ EN-TECH CORP
5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	□ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	Business/Service Address:
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	Ç
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:08-cv-04632-AKH Document 1 Filed 05/16/2008 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

	bunded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; spelization Act of 2001, (or); Federal Officers.; Contested, b	Jurisd	
remo	val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	U.S.C	C. § 1441.
	III CAUSES	S OF	ACTION
of lial			d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
			Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: _

Case 1:08-cv-04632-AKH Document 1 Filed 05/16/2008 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

V	Date of onset: 7/11/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date			Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
	Respiratory Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		V	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 8/31/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		V	Other Injury: Arthritic/Joint Pain Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged. 2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:				
√	Pain and suffering			
V	Loss of the enjoyment of life			
✓	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity			
\checkmark	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits			
√	Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation			

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

 \checkmark

Other:

✓ Mental anguish✓ Disability

☑ Medical monitoring

✓ Other: Not yet determined.

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

 $\label{eq:power_power} Plaintiff(s) \ demands \ that \ all \ issues \ of fact \ in \ this \ case \ be \ tried \ before \ a \ properly \ empanelled \ jury.$

Dated: New York, New York April 29, 2008

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Robert Ehmer

Bv:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action. That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York
April 29, 2008

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

ocket No	: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
=====	Robert Ehmer,
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
	EASE TAKE NOTICE: NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
ΠŢ	that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M Dated, Yours, etc.,
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP